

**Test Report**

Number: SHAH01473313

Applicant: D2B A DIVISION OF REGATTA LTD.  
RISOL HOUSE, MERCURY WAY, URMSTON,  
MANCHESTER M41 7RR. ENGLAND

Date: 14 Jul, 2022

Sample Description:

One (1) style of submitted sample said to be :  
Item Name : SKI GOGGLES  
Item No. : DUE414, DUE 416  
Reference No. : HB-166A, HB-166C.  
Buyer : Dare 2B  
Goods of Exported to : United Kingdom  
Country of Origin : China.  
Maufacturer : HUBO SPORTS PRODUCTS CO., LIMITED

\*\*\*\*\*

Tests Conducted:

As requested by the applicant, for details refer to attached page(s).

\*\*\*\*\*

Conclusion:

<u>Tested sample</u>	<u>Requirement</u>	<u>Result</u>
Submitted samples	EN 174: 2001 Personal eye-protection – Ski goggles for downhill skiing Excluding: - Clause 4.2 Materials	Pass

\*\*\*\*\*

To be continued

Authorized By:  
Intertek Testing Services Ltd. Zhejiang, Wenzhou Branch



Peter Chen  
General Manager



**Test Report**

Number: SHAH01473313

Tests Conducted

Requirements for Ski Goggles

Test standard: EN 174:2001 – Personal Eye-Protection – Ski Goggles for Downhill Skiing

Number of samples tested: Six (6) pairs of ski goggles and Two (2) pieces of lens.

Note:

- (1) No parts of the ski goggle which are in contact with wearer shall be made of materials that are known to cause irritation, allergic or toxic reaction in a normal state of health amongst a significant proportion of users.
- (2) CE marking is not specified in EN 174:2001 but per Regulation (EU) 2016/425, Article 16 & Article 17, the CE marking shall be affixed visibly, legibly and indelibly to the sample frame. The format of this CE marking was given in Annex II of Regulation (EC) No 765/2008.

It was found that the CE marking was provided on the eye-protectors.

Clause	Requirement	Result
4.1	General requirements	P
4.2	Materials	See note (1)
4.3	Sit and fit	P
4.4	Ventilation	P
5.1	Optical requirements	
5.1.1	Field of vision	P
5.1.2	Lens requirements (See test data)	
	Optical power	P
	Transmittance	P
	Variations in luminous transmittance	P
	Maximum reduced luminance coefficient	P
	Quality of material and surface	P
	Resistance to ultraviolet radiation	P
5.2	Mechanical strength	P
5.3	Protection against water and snow	P
5.4	Resistance to ignition	P
5.5	Suitability for cleaning and care	P
5.6	Optional specifications	
5.6.1	Resistance to surface damage by fine particles	P
5.6.2	Resistance to fogging of oculars	P
5.6.3	Enhanced infrared absorption of oculars	NA (No claim)
7	Information supplied by the manufacturers	P#1 (See note (2))

\*\*\*\*\*  
To be continued



**Test Report**

Number: SHAH01473313

Tests Conducted

Abbreviation: P = Pass; NA = Not Applicable;

Test data:

5.1.2 Lens requirements - Optical power:

Optical power	Sample	Left ocular	Right ocular	Optical class
Spherical power (m <sup>-1</sup> )	1	-0.06	-0.07	Class 2
Astigmatic power (m <sup>-1</sup> )	1	0.00	0.00	

Prismatic power difference (cm/m)	Sample	Horizontal	Vertical	Optical class
	1	0.31	0.01	Class 2
	Base out			

Requirement:

Optical Class	Spherical Power (m <sup>-1</sup> )	Astigmatic power (m <sup>-1</sup> )	Prismatic power difference (cm/m)		
			Horizontal limit		Vertical limit
			Base out	Base in	
1	±0.09	0.09	0.75	0.25	0.25
2	±0.12	0.12	1.00	0.25	0.25

Transmittance:

Range	Sample	Left ocular (%)	Right ocular (%)	Filter category
380 - 780nm (τ <sub>v</sub> )	2	48.78	48.61	S1

For ultraviolet spectral range:

Range	Sample	Maximum transmittance (%)		Requirement (%)	
		Left ocular	Right ocular	Left	Right
280 – 315nm (UVB)	2	0.00	0.00	≤ 0.03 τ <sub>v</sub> (1.46)	≤ 0.03 τ <sub>v</sub> (1.46)
315 – 350nm (UVA)	2	0.00	0.00	≤ 0.3 τ <sub>v</sub> (14.63)	≤ 0.3 τ <sub>v</sub> (14.58)
315 – 380nm (τ <sub>SUVA</sub> )	2	0.00	0.00	≤ 0.3τ <sub>v</sub> (14.63)	≤ 0.3 τ <sub>v</sub> (14.58)

To be continued



**Test Report**

Number: SHAH01473313

Tests Conducted

Requirement:

Filter category	Ultraviolet spectral range			Visible spectral range	
	Maximum value of spectral transmittance $\tau_{(\lambda)}$		Maximum value of solar UVA transmittance $\tau_{SUVA}$	Range of luminous transmittance ( $\tau_v$ )	
	280 nm to 315nm	Over 315nm to 350nm	315nm to 380nm	From over%	To%
S0	0.03 $\tau_v$	0.3 $\tau_v$	0.3 $\tau_v$	80.0	100
S1				43.0	80.0
S2		18.0	43.0		
S3		0.15 $\tau_v$	0.15 $\tau_v$	8.0	18.0
S4				3.0	8.0

Variations in luminous transmittance

Sample	Variation within filter [relative to higher value]		Difference between filters [relative to lighter filter]
	Left ocular (%)	Right ocular (%)	
2	4.28	3.58	0.34
Requirement (%)	$\leq 10$		$\leq 20$

Maximum reduced luminance coefficient

Sample	Maximum reduced luminance coefficient ( $cd/m^2/lx$ )		Class	Requirement
	Left ocular	Right ocular		
3	0.29	0.35	Class 2	Diffusion of light - Class 1: $\leq 1.0 (cd/m^2)/lx$ - Class 2: $\leq 2.0 (cd/m^2)/lx$

Resistance to ultraviolet radiation:

Sample	Relative change in the luminous transmittance (%)		Requirement
	Left ocular	Right ocular	
2	+0.1	-1.1	$\pm 10\%$ for category S1

Sample	Maximum reduced luminance coefficient ( $cd/m^2/lx$ )		Class	Requirement
	Left ocular	Right ocular		
3	0.26	0.34	Class 2	Diffusion of light (maximum): - Class 1: $1.0 (cd/m^2)/lx$ - Class 2: $2.0 (cd/m^2)/lx$

To be continued



**Test Report**

Number: SHAH01473313

Tests Conducted

5.6.1 Resistance to surface damage by fine particles

Sample	Maximum reduced luminance coefficient (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )/lx		Class	Requirement
	Left ocular	Right ocular		
4	8.61	9.10	Class 2	Diffusion of light (maximum): - Class 1: 5.0 (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )/lx - Class 2: 10.0 (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )/lx

5.6.2 Resistance to fogging of oculars

Sample	Time of remain free from fogging (s)		Requirement (s)
	Left ocular	Right ocular	
5	>35	>35	≥ 30

Remarks:

#1 - All information was reviewed by artwork.

Date sample received: Jul.4, 2022  
Testing period: Jun.4, 2022 To Jul.14, 2022

\*\*\*\*\*  
To be continued



**Test Report**

Number: SHAH01473313

Tests Conducted



\*\*\*\*\*  
End of report

*This report is made solely on the basis of your instructions and/or information and materials supplied by you. It is not intended to be a recommendation for any particular course of action. Intertek does not accept a duty of care or any other responsibility to any person other than the Client in respect of this report and only accepts liability to the Client insofar as is expressly contained in the terms and conditions governing Intertek's provision of services to you. Intertek makes no warranties or representations either express or implied with respect to this report save as provided for in those terms and conditions. We have aimed to conduct the Review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct.*

*This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.*

